

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/598,005	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VON BERG, JENS	
	<b>Examiner</b> THOMAS CONWAY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) THOMAS CONWAY.

(2) Anthony Del Zoppo.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2011

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Rejection of claim 1 as currently rejected and claim 6 under 35 USC 112*

Claims discussed:  
1, 6, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** allowed with examiner's amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1PM EST

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas A. Conway/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending claims to correct for possible rejection under 35 USC 112 (claim 6) and suggested incorporating limitations as recited in claim 9 into claim 1 in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed and forwarded proposed amendments electronically which the Examiner approved and implemented via Examiner's amendment..